

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED SPACES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Part But 1822

Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspidegov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,888	03/31/2004	Mihai Florin Ionescu	24207-10109	5760
62296 GOOGLE / FE	7590 05/22/2007 ENWICK	•	EXAMINER	
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA ST.			ROSE, HELENE ROBERTA	
**** *****	NIA 51. /IEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	
				······································
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/813,888 IONESCU, MIHAI FLORIN Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2163 Helene Rose All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Brian Hoffman. (1) Helene Rose. (2) Nikhil Iyengar. Date of Interview: 16 May 2007. Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1 was addressed. Identification of prior art discussed: Vieet and Kiessia. Agreement with respect to the claims $f \boxtimes w$ as reached. $g \supseteq w$ was not reached. $g \supseteq w$ was not reached. $g \supseteq w$ Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Rose held an interview with Nikhil and Brian on May 16, 2007. Nikhil and Brian described the instant invention as well as proposed some new claim language to overcome the 101 rejection. In addition, we also discussed the 103 rejection and how the prior art cited read on the present claim language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required